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This study explored the differences between eight-year elementary school pupils (before the curriculum reform) and nine-year elementary school pupils (soon after the curriculum reform) in Slovenia, as regards specific chemistry knowledge and motivation to learn chemistry. Altogether, 191 elementary school pupils participated in the study. The results show that pupils of nine-year elementary school are not significantly better at chemistry knowledge test scores than eight-year elementary school pupils. Similar results were obtained when comparing intrinsic motivation. The results also show that those students who exhibit a higher level of intrinsic motivation to learn chemistry do not perform better at the test as a whole, but the correlation is low, although statistically significant, between motivation and pupils’ performance at solving selected problems presented in this paper. Results show that students develop different misconceptions of selected chemical concepts. Based on the results of this study, some guidelines for teachers are suggested.
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Introduction

Learning chemistry is a complex process, and combining different levels of chemical concepts (macroscopic, submicroscopic and symbolic ones) is an important part of it. Research (Bradley et al., 1998; Johnson, 1998; Gabel, 1999; Sanger, 2000; Chittleborough et al., 2002; Harrison and Treagust, 2002; Solsona et al., 2003; Papageorgiou and Johnson, 2003; Toth and Kiss, 2006; Stains and Talanquer, 2008; Devetak et al., 2009) shows that the teaching process organised in such a way can contribute to diminishing students’ misunderstandings or incomplete comprehensions of chemical concepts, which is still recognised as a great problem all over the world.

Educational strategies in chemistry education should lead to knowledge with understanding, and should include macroscopic, submicroscopic and symbolic levels of chemical concepts. The macroscopic component - concrete or sensory representation of chemical concepts - is represented with experiments. Observations at the macroscopic level are explained by the submicroscopic one (the abstract particulate level). Symbolic levels of chemical concepts (symbols of elements, chemical formulae and equations, mathematical equations, graphical representations such as submicrorepresentations of the particulate nature of matter - different models, scheme, etc.) are used by scientifically literate people to communicate easily about the phenomena at the abstract level; this is the most difficult one for students to comprehend, especially if they lack the understanding of the submicroscopic level of chemical concepts. Reasonable understanding of the phenomena is established when all three levels of concepts overlap one another, supported by visualization elements, in a specific way in students’ working memory. These relationships are presented in the Interdependence of the Three Levels of Science Concepts Model (ITLS) (Fig. 1).

The ITLS model draws on different educational theories, such as Paivio’s dual coding theory (Paivio, 1986) (two cognitive subsystems, one specialized for processing of nonverbal objects or events, and the other specialized for dealing with language), Mayer’s Selecting-Organizing-Integrating (SOI) model of meaningful learning (Mayer, 1996) (the model representing the processes of Selecting relevant information, Organizing information in a meaningful way, and Integrating the new information with the learner’s prior knowledge) and Johnstone’s model of information processing (Johnstone et al., 1994) (the model of information entering the learner and how it is processed in the working and long-term memory), cognitive theory of multimedia learning and Mayer’s theory of effective illustrations (Mayer, 1993) (both theories build on implementation of simple illustrations to help direct the student’s attention to specific elements in building a mental model by which they acquire knowledge and proceed toward meaningful knowledge). For more details on theory descriptions and their implementation in ITLS model see Juriševič et al. (2008) and Devetak et al. (2009). Mayer and Moreno (2001) argued that, in the process of constructing meaningful knowledge for students, teachers should follow the multiple representation principle: presenting the concept in words and pictures together. Learning science is also strongly connected with building knowledge through understanding and concept linking in students’ long-term memory by interpreting multi-modal representations of science phenomena (Ainsworth, 1999; Lemke, 2004), and that...
students who recognized relationships between different representations demonstrated better conceptual understandings than students who lacked this knowledge (Prain and Waldrip, 2006). In order to achieve better understanding of science concepts, students should be able to translate one representation into another and co-ordinate this knowledge in the process of presenting scientific knowledge (Ainsworth, 1999). According to these theories, submicrorepresentations are only one representational mode in the submicroscopic level of the ITLS model (Devetak et al., 2009).

Research also shows that teachers often explain chemical concepts only at the most abstract level, the symbolic level. Research (Williamson and Abraham, 1995; Georgiadou and Tsaparlis, 2000; Treagust et al., 2001; Wu et al., 2001; Bunce and Gabel, 2002; Papageorgiou and Johnson, 2005; Tien et al., 2007) shows that teachers do not combine macroscopic and submicroscopic levels with the symbolic. Using submicrorepresentations, chemical phenomena could be represented either by stationary illustrations or computer animated interactions between particles. These illustrations can show qualitative or quantitative relations between substances in the chemical phenomena (Devetak and Glažar, 2001). It has been shown (Treagust et al., 2001) that submicrorepresentations are successful only when students are capable of applying their macroscopic chemistry knowledge to the submicroscopic level. Stains and Talanquer (2008) emphasised that the nature of the representations also influenced students’ reasoning during solving problems containing submicrorepresentations. The more familiar representations of chemical reactions in symbolic form seemed to trigger the recognition of a larger number of chemically meaningful features, whereas most students struggled in assigning chemical meaning to the submicrorepresentations of chemical reactions. The complexity of and the lack of familiarity with the submicrorepresentations made most students analyze more carefully the nature of the chemical processes that were so represented.

For the learning process, and therefore also for the development of understanding of chemical concepts, the mere combination of all three levels of understanding of chemical concepts is not enough; in these processes intrinsic motivation is crucial (Pintrich and Schunk, 1996; Stipek, 1998; Jarvela, 2001). Students reaching a very high level of intrinsic motivation, who are actively involved in learning tasks, adopt a positive attitude towards the subject and, consequently, those who have a good self-concept for science are also higher achievers in this area. In the literature on educational psychology (Pintrich and Schunk, 1996; Eccles et al., 1998; Stipek, 1998), intrinsic motivation is most frequently described in terms of three interconnected elements the student will have developed by the end of elementary school (age 14): (1) as a special inclination to tackle more demanding tasks that present a challenge; (2) as learning triggered off by curiosity or special interests; (3) as the development of competence and mastering learning tasks in which learning is seen as a value. Research shows that school performance has an impact on future interests and motivation for the selected area of study, as correlations between those variables are statistically significant ($p < 0.001$) (Zusko et al., 2003). Students who are more self-confident at solving difficult or complex problems are more successful at school work, because they apply profound learning strategies leading them towards building up more solid knowledge (Pintrich, 1999). Research results show also the decrease in the interest in science as students progress from lower to upper grades (Anderman and Young, 1994; Zusko et al., 2003), which may be attributed to a number of incorrectly or incompletely understood scientific concepts, as pupils and students do not study science in-depth. In the past such conclusions have led researchers of science education and psychologists to advocate the reform of the science curriculum with varied success. Keig and Rubba (1993) argued that motivation was a potential source of variance in the science test achievements; many students who were not satisfied with their test scores reported in interviews that they had only taken extra science classes because they wanted to enrol at a particular faculty.

Apart from enabling a clearer understanding of concepts, different visualization instruments can stimulate discussion among students about the learning materials, and they can also improve concentration during lessons (Theile and Treagust, 1994, Wu et al., 2001), leading to a higher level of motivation to learn science. Chittleborough and her colleagues (2002) researched motivation to learn chemistry among students in the first year at university level; from interviews they concluded that students were not motivated to learn beyond the boundaries of knowledge necessary to pass the exam. In order to improve the learning motivation in the classroom, Meece and Jones (1996) recommended that teachers should create a learning environment that would: (1) provide learning support for students, (2) monitor students’ development, (3) recognise and reward personal development in students’ knowledge, and (4) minimize social differences among students. Devetak et al. (2004) found that university students (i.e., pre-service elementary teachers-to-be) were most motivated to learn biology, followed by physics, maths, foreign languages and chemistry. Further, it was also found (Jurišević et al., 2007) that the level of intrinsic motivation was decreasing with subjects with more abstract contents. As regards chemistry, students are highly motivated to learn at the concrete macroscopic level, but less so at the submicro
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**Fig. 1** Model representing Interdependence of Three Levels of Science Concepts – ITLS model (Devetak, 2005).
and symbolic levels. Most students consider chemistry to be an interesting subject because of the experimental work, which is an important motivator. However, the aim to maintain a high level of motivation with students to learn chemistry at the submicro and symbolic levels of chemical concepts is considered to be very difficult for teachers to achieve (Harrison and Treagust, 2002).

Different types of experimental work (individual and group experimentation, teachers’ demonstrations, different types of project work, etc.) constitute the major part in the modernized elementary school science curriculum introduced in the eight-year elementary school. According to the school curriculum reform in Slovenia, the eight-year elementary school (pupils aged from 7 to 14) was replaced by the nine-year elementary school (pupils aged from 6 to 14), which is started at the age of six, i.e. one year earlier than the eight-year elementary school. However, the classification and the level of complexity of science subjects did not change significantly. The students’ age on entering the chemistry course was the same (13 years old) as was the number of lessons per week (two 45 minutes lessons). The greatest change was experienced in the curricula of the science subjects, including chemistry, emphasizing active working methods for both teachers and pupils in the nine-year elementary school in contrast to the curricula in the eight-year elementary school which was more lecture-type teaching, where students were less actively involved in the classroom; it was hoped that this would have a positive impact on the motivation of pupils to learn science. Active working methods also enable the linking of experimental results with their interpretations at the submicro level and with symbolic records. The interconnection of three levels when learning chemical concepts should also contribute to gathering information and consequently to the synthesis of knowledge.

To support these new approaches new educational materials were developed for pupils and teachers, and in-service professional development programs were organised for chemistry teachers on how to apply the new teaching methods. Teachers’ participation in these in-service training courses was obligatory (3 days - 6 hours per day) for all teachers before they started the new programme in schools. During these in-service training programmes, the teachers pointed out that too frequent activities, which are not carried out in a suitable manner, could have a negative impact on pupils’ motivation. With regard to the discussion above, the question can be posed as to the extent to which teachers keep to these methods at chemistry lessons (Glažar, 2005).

Purpose of the research and research question

The main purpose of this study was to determine the influence of active learning strategies on the pupils’ understanding of selected chemical concepts and on their motivation to learn chemistry; these strategies were implemented, according to the reformed curriculum, in the nine-year elementary school (9YP), more frequently than in the eight-year elementary school (8YP) under the previous curriculum.

Accordingly, the main research question is: is there a statistically significant difference between year 8 (old curriculum) and year 9 (new curriculum) elementary school pupils’ achievements in solving the SMR problems dealing with electrolyte chemical concepts and between their intrinsic motivation to learn chemistry?

Hypothesis

The research question can be divided into four hypotheses:

1. There is a statistically significant difference between the year 8 and year 9 elementary school pupils’ achievements at solving submicrorepresentation problems.
2. Year 9 elementary school pupils have similar misconceptions about electrolyte chemistry to those of their year 8 counterparts.
3. Year 9 elementary school pupils are more intrinsically motivated to learn chemistry than their year 8 elementary school counterparts.
4. There is a statistically significant correlation between pupils’ intrinsic motivation to learn chemistry, and performance in solving problems at the particulate level and the type of school they attend.

Method

Participants

A total of 191 pupils (50.7% girls, 49.3% boys) participated in the study, out of whom 110 pupils attended the last (eighth) grade of the eight-year elementary school, whereas 81 pupils attended the last (ninth) grade of the nine-year elementary school. On average they were 13 years and 4 months old. They all had one year of chemistry education prior to participating in this study.

Instruments

Two instruments were used in this study: Test of Elementary Chemistry Knowledge (TECK) to determine the pupils’ basic understanding of specific chemical concepts, and the questionnaire Intrinsic Motivation to Learn Science (IMLS) to determine the pupils’ motivation to learn science. Both instruments were developed by the authors.

A paper-and-pencil Test of Elementary Chemistry Knowledge (TECK) comprises ten items on five different topics (Table 1). Pupils had to explain in writing their

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Structure of the Test of Elementary Chemistry Knowledge (TECK)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Topic</td>
<td>Problem number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pure substances and mixtures, states of matter</td>
<td>1, 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elements and compounds</td>
<td>2, 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical reactions</td>
<td>3, 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrolyte chemistry*</td>
<td>7, 8, 9, 10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* - Items analysed for the purposes of this study; see also the Appendix for items text.
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emotional component of interest (e.g. I enjoy chemistry classes), (2) the cognitive component of interest (e.g. I am
interested in reports about chemistry in the media); (3) the

challenge component (e.g. I enjoy the challenge of new

chemical problems). The validity of the entire instrument
was confirmed by three independent experts in psychology and in

science education. The internal consistency (Cronbach α) of

IMLS was between 0.85 and 0.95 for specific parts of the

questionnaire.

Data collection and analysis

The research was a non-experimental, cross-sectional and
descriptive study (Bryman, 2004). Both instruments were
administered in groups at the end of the chemistry course in
the last year of elementary school in accordance with the

standard procedures. IMLS and TECK data were analysed
using descriptive statistics, Pearson correlation, t-test, and
chi-square test.

Results

Test of Elementary Chemistry Knowledge (TECK) results

Results show (Table 2) that nine-year elementary school
pupils (9YP) had an average score only 0.53 points (3%)
higher than that of their eight-year elementary school (8YP)
counterparts. Statistically significant differences in total test
score and in the selected items score between 8YP and 9YP
were proven by an independent-samples t-test. Results show
that the difference was not statistically significant (p > 0.05).
It can be concluded that innovations in the curriculum of the
nine-year elementary school and in the methods of teachers’
work do not increase pupils’ basic understanding of chemical
concepts at the submicro level, compared to that of the eight-
year elementary school pupils.

Misconceptions of the basic concepts regarding electrolyte
chemistry

Four problems (No 7, No 8, No 9 and No 10) from electrolyte
chemistry were selected from the test, because they are very
important for understanding the basic characteristics of
substances at the macroscopic and submicroscopic levels in
the elementary school chemistry (aqueous solutions,
concentration, acids, bases, salts). More detailed comparison
analysis of achievements and misconceptions was performed
to identify possible differences between the eight- and nine-
year elementary school pupils’ understanding of selected
concepts.

Problem No 7

Pupils had to identify which submicrorepresentation
represents an aqueous solution of hydrochloric acid at the
particulate level. Water molecules were omitted for reasons of
clarity. Pupils had to explain their choice of the
submicrorepresentation. They had to understand that
hydrochloric acid is a strong acid, causing all its molecules to
ionize in the aqueous solution and that only ions
(hydroxonium and chloride) are present in aqueous solution in
order to solve the problem, which is classified into the
application category according to Bloom’s cognitive
taxonomy.

The results show (Table 3) that 59% of the 9YP pupils and
55% of the 8YP pupils chose the appropriate
submicrorepresentation; a chi-square test showed the
difference to be not significant $\chi^2 (1, N=191) = 0.252, p = 0.616$.

Thus, it can be concluded that more than half of the pupils
are familiar with the hydroxonium ion and know that the
number of hydroxonium ions and chloride ions in the solution
should be the same, as one molecule of hydrochloric acid
dissociates into one hydroxonium ion and one chloride ion.
Nevertheless, the proportion of pupils who chose D
submicroscopic representation is still big, i.e. 20% of the 8YP
pupils and 26% of the 9YP pupils. These pupils do not know

Table 2 Differences in total test score and in the selected items score between eight-year elementary school pupils and nine-year elementary school pupils

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TECK</th>
<th>Pupils</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>t-test</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total test</td>
<td>8YP</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>9.09</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>-1.095</td>
<td>0.275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9YP</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>9.62</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrolyte</td>
<td>8YP</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>1.030</td>
<td>0.305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chemistry</td>
<td>9YP</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 Pupils’ answers to problem No. 7. The correct submicro-representation is in bold

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pupils</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>No answer (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8YP</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9YP</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4 Some students’ justifications of submicrorepresentation selection at solving Problem No 7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The level of justification as regards ITLS model</th>
<th>8YP (f)</th>
<th>9YP (f)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Macro level</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Chlorine will bind with water into hydrochloric acid.</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Hydrogen and chlorine are bound together.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Hydrogen and chlorine are mixed.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Hydrogen is bound to water.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Hydrochloric acid contains chlorine and water.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Most of the chlorine.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Submicro level</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Because there is only one water molecule.</td>
<td>6*</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Chloride ions are alone, on other schemes other ions are attached to them.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Three atoms of hydrogen are bound to one atom of oxygen.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. The same number of chlorine and hydrogen atoms.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Particles are mixed.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Some chloride ions and some water molecules.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Macro-submicro level</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Water is bound to every chlorine atom.</td>
<td>4*</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. In water the acid gives away atoms of hydrogen, out of which hydroxonium ions are produced. No atom of hydrogen is bound to the compound.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. In aqueous solutions acids decompose into the hydroxonium ion and acidic residue.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. The solution produces atoms.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Chlorine is not bound to the hydroxonium ion or to hydrogen.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Macro-submicro-symbolic level</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. The acid decomposes in water into H⁺ ion, H₂O and H₂O.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. H₂O + HCl $\rightarrow$ H₃O⁺ + Cl⁻ water reacts with hydrogen out of the acid producing hydroxonium ion and chloride.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Because there is one 1H $\rightarrow$ HCl in the acid, which is bound to water producing H₂O⁻ and only Cl⁻ remains.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total: 21 20

* - frequencies for the eight-year school are not the sums of the specific examples of justifications presented in the subcategories, because those justifications that appeared only once were not included in the Table.

Table 5. Pupils’ answers to problem No 8. The correct submicro-representation is in bold.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pupils</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>No answer (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8YP</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9YP</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: CH₃COOH is a strong acid, as the scheme comprises three quarters of the drawn molecule particles which did not ionize. All the 9YP pupils answered the question but 14% of the 8YP pupils did not. Perhaps they had less confidence in the accuracy of the answers provided, so they preferred not to respond at all.

Table 4 shows that only 41 of the pupils (21.5%) in total gave reasons for their responses, the share of justifications among the 8YP pupils (19.1%) being somewhat lower than among the 9YP pupils (24.4%), with no significant difference in the justification modes. The majority of the pupils justified their submicroscopic representation selection at the macroscopic level, of which most of the pupils only described submicroscopic representation as their choice, e.g. hydrogen and chlorine are bound. The second most common justification from nine-year elementary school pupils was a combination of the macroscopic and submicroscopic levels of concepts. Fewer pupils justified their selection of submicroscopic representation only with the submicroscopic level, with the combination of the macro-and symbolic levels or with the combination of all three levels. The differences in the number of justifications between eight-year and nine-year school is not significant.

**Problem No 8**

In this task the pupils had to choose a submicroscopic representation showing a basic aqueous solution and justify their choice. The task is classified into the cognitive comprehension category according to Bloom, as pupils should be familiar with hydroxide ions to provide the correct answer.

As Table 5 shows, on average, only 22% of the pupils provided the correct answer, which means that less than one quarter of the pupils knows that hydroxide ions cause the basic characteristics of aqueous solutions. A chi-square test for independence showed no significant difference between the proportion of correct answers from the two groups, $\chi^2 (1, N=191) = 0.066, \ p = 0.797$. Only 23.2% of the 9YP pupils justified their answers and only 12.7% of the 8YP pupils, did so (Table 6).

The analysis of the justifications of the submicroscopic representation selection at task No 8 shows that the majority of the pupils justified their selection with the combination of the macroscopic and submicroscopic levels of chemical concepts. The majority of the responses gave the reasons that a base decomposes or ionizes in water into the hydroxide ion, and that in the aqueous basic solution there are also hydroxonium ions present. This explanation occurs in the new program only once. More 9YP pupils tried to explain the phenomena on submicro level than did their 8YP counterparts.

**Problem No 9**

In order to be successful at this task, pupils had to choose the most concentrated solution among five submicroscopic
The appropriate submicroscopic representations of aqueous solutions of the same substance. The pupils had to justify their selection. In order to solve this task they had to be familiar with the chemical concepts of ion, ionic compound, solvent, and solute. Their selections had to be justified by the combination of macroscopic and submicroscopic levels, respectively. From the pupils’ explanations it can be concluded that the macroscopic element in the submicrorepresentation can influence their explanations at the macro level. Altogether, all explanations - even those that show some submicro elements - were at the macroscopic level in eight-year programme, and less than 7% of all justifications were at the submicroscopic level (Table 8). The majority of the pupils presented their justifications only at the macroscopic level (Table 8). 20% of the 8YP pupils as well as 22% of the 9YP pupils simply justified their responses by just counting the numbers of ‘small dots or circles’ or similar expressions in a certain amount of the solvent. Fewer pupils gave reasons for their responses using the submicroscopic level of chemical concepts and the combination of macroscopic and submicroscopic levels, respectively. Fewer pupils gave reasons for their responses using the submicroscopic level of chemical concepts and the combination of macroscopic and submicroscopic levels, respectively. From the pupils’ explanations it can be concluded that the macroscopic element in the submicrorepresentation can influence their explanations at the macro level. Altogether, all explanations - even those that show some submicro elements - were at the macro level in eight-year programme, and less than 7% of all justifications from 9YP pupils were only at the submicro level. As many as 105 pupils (55%) did not offer any explanation for their responses.

**Problem No 10**

The pupils had to choose out of five submicroscopic representations the one showing the appropriate arrangement of particles in an aqueous solution of sodium chloride. In submicroscopic representations water molecules were omitted in order to ensure clarity. The pupils also had to take into account that sodium chloride dissolves well in water; further, that it is an ionic solution, which means that in aqueous solution sodium and chloride ions are to be found among the water molecules. Their selections had to be justified by the pupils. To solve this problem the pupils had to be familiar with the following chemical concepts: ion, ionic compound, aqueous solutions of ionic compounds. The task is classified into the cognitive application category according to Bloom, as pupils had to choose the suitable submicroscopic representation.
representation is in bold
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It is surprising that the proportion (Table 9; bold text) of nine-year school pupils who selected the correct submicrorepresentation, \( \chi^2 (1, N=191) = 4.78, p = 0.029 \), was significantly lower than the proportion of eight-year school pupils who chose the correct submicrorepresentation. Almost half of the 9YP pupils and over a third of the 8YP pupils decided on the E submicroscopic representation in which the sodium chloride molecules in aqueous solution are wrongly presented, so they know that the proportion between sodium and chlorine in sodium chloride is 1:1, but they do not know that an aqueous solution contains sodium ions and chloride ions. Approximately 17% of all the pupils are not familiar with the sodium chloride composition, which is most often used as an example when explaining an ionic bond.

In total, 24.5% of the 8YP pupils and 28% of the 9YP pupils tried to justify their responses (Table 10); the majority of the pupils used the macroscopic level in justifying their choice of the submicroscopic representation, which was most often wrongly described. The pupils using the submicro level or a combination of macro- and submicro levels to justify their responses were also trying to give reasons for their choices by describing particles in the aqueous solution of sodium chloride. It can be summarised again from Table 10, that there were more explanations at the submicro level in eight-year than in nine-year elementary school. This may indicate that the new curriculum does not lead to pupils’ deeper understanding of the submicrolevel. Similarly to previous tasks, the majority of the pupils (73.8%) did not give reasons for their responses.

Intrinsic motivation and chemistry learning

Table 11 shows that 8YP pupils are more motivated than 9YP pupils for all the levels of chemical concepts. 8YP pupils were statistically significantly more motivated to introduce and observe chemical changes (macroscopic level) than 9YP pupils, and they were also more motivated to learn chemistry, motivation was noticed at changing over to the submicro level, whereby pupils should develop mindsets for the world of particles, which they perceive as the most abstract one. The biggest drop in motivation was noticed at changing over to the submicro level, whereby pupils should develop mindsets for the world of particles, which they perceive as the most abstract one. The transfer from the concrete to the abstract level caused an average drop in intrinsic motivation by as many as 11.4 points in pupils. The transfer from the submicro- to the symbolic level is easier for the pupils, yet the level of motivation decreases only by 0.97 points on average. Thus, the new curriculum did not contribute to an increase of interest in chemistry, and teachers probably neither apply methods nor perform activities through the new curriculum that would be very different from those applied in the 8YP school. These results also confirm the results obtained from Table 2, which do not show significant differences in understanding chemical concepts at the level of particles between the 8YP pupils and 9YP pupils. On the basis of these results it would be recommended for teachers to introduce such working methods and activities that would encourage the pupils’ external motivation, which would later on be turned into internal motivation for understanding chemical concepts and rules based on positive experiences. Students can be extrinsically motivated for chemistry learning in such educational...
situations that can see the relevance of chemical concepts. This relevance can be obtained by introducing concepts through contexts familiar and interesting to the students (i.e. forensic chemistry, food chemistry, sport science, science in the media). Active learning approaches can also be extrinsically oriented strategies for stimulating students’ interest in chemistry. This means that students should learn actively (by reading, reflecting, discussing, analysing, evaluating and drawing conclusions) in a social context (group work).

All correlations between pupils’ performance at the total test score and intrinsic motivation to learn chemistry regardless of the type of schooling were statistically insignificant and low. On the other hand, the correlations between pupils’ performance at items including electrolyte chemistry and intrinsic motivation to learn chemistry were significant in some cases, but still low (see Table 12). Chemistry-in-context and more active educational strategies, as proposed to the teachers by the reformed chemistry curriculum (9YP), may influence students’ achievements when solving electrolyte chemistry problems more than in the old curriculum. From this point of view the new curriculum may contribute to students’ higher motivation for learning even more abstract ideas as electrolyte chemistry concepts indubitably are.

Conclusions and implications for education

Based on the results, it can be concluded that on average the performance of the 9YP pupils was slightly better (by 3%) than the performance of the 8YP pupils, but the difference is not statistically significant, so the first hypothesis can not be confirmed.

Similar conclusions can be made for the selected tasks from the field of aqueous solutions of electrolytes, as the 8YP pupils were more successful at solving the selected problems, but the difference is not statistically significant. According to these results the second hypothesis can not be confirmed.

With a more detailed analysis of responses it can be established that on average, only 57% of the respondents understand that strong acids almost completely decompose into ions in aqueous solutions. The rest of the pupils are not able to connect the abstract concept of the strength of acid with the concrete example of a strong acid, as set in the task.

On average as many as 78% of the pupils don’t know the particles in basic aqueous solutions. Thus, pupils (an average of 46.5%) believe that, apart from hydroxide ions, aqueous solutions also contain considerable amounts of hydroxonium ions.

The findings of the study also show that almost two thirds of the respondents of both groups understand the concept of the solution concentration. Among the wrong responses, the majority of the pupils (an average of 21.5 %) chose the wrong submicroscopic representation, as they did not take into account the volume of the solution when deciding on the solution concentration, but only the number of the particles of the solute. On the basis of the analysis of the wrong responses to the task dealing with the sodium chloride composition, it can be concluded that almost half of the 9YP pupils believe that the aqueous solution contains sodium chloride molecules, in which sodium and chlorine atoms are bound in 1:1 proportion. Similar misconceptions are also observed with secondary school students (Devetak, 2005) and university students (Šegedin, 2001; Devetak et al., 2004). However, attention should also be paid to the fact that on average as many as 72.2% of the pupils did not justify their responses. This is probably due to the fact that at multiple choice tasks in school tests students are not used to having to justify their answers. If they did, they would learn to express themselves in a scientific manner, and the teacher would gain useful information on their understanding of the subject matter, which is not always possible to conjecture from their selected answers.

The justifications show pupils’ inability to express themselves precisely. They do not provide answers in terms of particles, i.e. atoms, ions and molecules of substances, but at the macroscopic level when they state the names of substances.

Appendix

Problem No 7

Which scheme represents an aqueous solution of hydrochloric acid at the particulate level? Water molecules were omitted for clarity.

Explain your choice:

Problem No 8

Which scheme represents an aqueous solution of a base? Water molecules were omitted for clarity.

Explain your choice:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 12 Correlations between pupils’ intrinsic motivation to learn chemistry and performance at electrolyte chemistry items</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8YP electrolyte achievement score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9YP electrolyte achievement score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* p ≤ 0.05.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to the analysis of the justifications, the 9YP pupils were more successful (by 3%) than the performance of the 8YP pupils, but the difference is not statistically significant. According to these results the second hypothesis cannot be confirmed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image1" alt="A" /></td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="B" /></td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="C" /></td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="D" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend:
- Water molecule
- Chloride ion

Explain your choice:

Problem No 9
Which scheme (from A to E) represents an aqueous solution of the same substance with the greatest concentration? Water molecules were omitted for clarity.

![Diagram of aqueous solutions]

Explain your choice:

Problem No 10
Which scheme represents an aqueous solution of sodium chloride? Water molecules were omitted for clarity.

![Diagram of aqueous solutions]

Explain your choice:
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